

1
2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Debra Morales Ruiz, et al.,
10 Plaintiffs,
11 v.
12 County of Maricopa, et al.,
13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-23-02482-PHX-SRB (DMF)

ORDER


15 This matter is before the Court on Defendants Magat and Dailey's Motion to Join
16 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 27). In the motion (*Id.*), recently served Defendants
17 Magat, Jane Doe Magat, Dailey, and Jane Doe Dailey request to join in other Defendants'
18 fully briefed motion to dismiss (Docs. 8, 12, 16). Defendants Magat and Dailey assert that
19 "[a]ll arguments asserted in the Motion to Dismiss and supporting Reply apply equally to
20 Defendants Magat and Dailey" (Doc. 27 at 1-2). The Court notes the failure to comply
21 with District of Arizona Local Civil Rule 12.1(c) regarding the pending motion for joinder
22 in the fully briefed motion to dismiss filed by other defendants (Doc. 27). Yet, the time for
23 response in opposition to the motion for joinder has passed, without any response being
24 filed.

25 Accordingly, and upon good cause shown,

26 **IT IS ORDERED** granting Defendants Magat and Dailey's Motion to Join
27 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 27) in which they request to join in other Defendants'
28 fully briefed motion to dismiss (Docs. 8, 16); Plaintiffs' arguments in response to the

1 motion to dismiss (Doc. 12) also are presented as to Defendants Magat, Jane Doe Magat,
2 Dailey, and Jane Doe Dailey.

3 Dated this 20th day of June, 2024.

4 
5 _____
6 Honorable Deborah M. Fine
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28